Message Dissemination Algorithm for Unreliable Broadcast Networks Guaranteeing Causal Order and Deadline Constraints

Jinho Ahn

Department of Computer Science, College of Natural Sciences Kyonggi University 94-6 Iuidong, Yeongtonggu, Suwon Gyeonggi 443-760, Republic of Korea e-mail: jhahn@kgu.ac.kr

Abstract—Most of existing deadline constrained causal order broadcast algorithms force any group member to drop late messages received before the expiration of their deadlines, but not respecting causal order condition. However, their users want to see as many messages as possible in their cause-effect order within the earliest deadline among them. In this paper, we propose a highly efficient real-time constrained causal order broadcast algorithm to highly improve responsiveness and minimize the number of late messages discarded.

Keywords-distributed system; realtime constraint; group communication; broadcast; message delivery order

I. INTRODUCTION

Causal order delivery to a broadcast group is a very important issue in the fields of sensor networks, video conferencing, stock trading, auction sales and so on [6, 7]. This message ordering condition can be satisfied if any two message sending events have cause-effect relation and the same destination, their corresponding delivery events should occur on the destination in their sending order. In order to ensure this ordering constraint, two approaches may generally be used as follows. First, if a group member receives a message capable of violating the constraint, the message delivery to the application is forced to wait for releasing the restriction caused by its predecessors [1, 4, 5]. Second, if deadline-constrained causal order requirement should be guaranteed, late messages, whose deadlines have passed or whose successors already received have exceeded their deadlines, are discarded. In the latter case, their users want to see as many messages as possible in their causeeffect order within the earliest deadline among them. However, the previous deadline-constrained causal order delivery algorithms [2, 3, 8] may not satisfy this important requirement. In this paper, we propose a highly efficient realtime constrained causal order broadcast algorithm to highly improve responsiveness and minimize the number of late messages discarded.

II. THE PROPOSED ALGORITHM

In figure 2, there is a broadcast group consisting of 4 processes, p1, p2, p3 and p4, sending 3 messages, m1, m2 and m3, to all members in order (by executing **Module** B-SEND(m)), whose deadlines are deadline_{m1}, deadline_{m2} and deadline_{m3} respectively. In the previous deadline constrained algorithms[2, 3, 8], p3 cannot receive m1 and m2 except for

delivering m3 in this example. In order to receive as many messages as possible before their earliest deadline like deadline_{m3}, our proposed algorithm allows each member like p1 and p2 to buffer received messages in its memory, DLVD Q_{revr} (by executing Module B-RECV(m, deadline_m, MVector_{sndr})). If a member, p3, receives a message like m3, from p2 in this figure, it requests m3's sender, p2, give m3's predecessors, m1 and m2, to itself by sending a solicitation message with m3's dependency vector, MVector_{revr}, (by executing Module SOLICIT-RECV(MVector_{rcvr})). After having obtained m1 and m2 from p2, p3 can deliver all three messages to their corresponding application (by executing Module RPY-RECV (MSG_Q)). In order to keep the deadline-constrained causal order requirement, our algorithm makes each member check deadline violation every time interval (by executing Module CHECK-MSGS()).

 Module B-SEND(m) OF P_{sndr} MVector_{sndr}[sndr] ← current time value of P_{sndr}; broadcast (m, deadline_m, MVector_{sndr}) to all the other members ;
 Module B-RECV(m, deadline_m, MVector_{sndr}) OF P_{rcvr} if((deadline_m < current time value of P_{rcvr}) ∨ (MVector_{sndr}[sndr] ≤ MVector_{rcvr}[sndr])) then discard message m from P_{rcvr}; else if((MVector_{sndr}[sndr] > MVector_{rcvr}[sndr]) ∧ (∀i≠sndr: MVector_{sndr}[i] ≤ MVector_{rcvr}[i])) then

\displaystyle displaystyle displaystyl

send solicitation(MVector_{rcvr}, MVector_{sndr}) to P_{sndr};

// Every time interval, the procedure is executed. **Module** CHECK-MSGS() OF PROCESS P_p **for all** $e \in RMSG_Q_p$ in FIFO order **do**

```
\mathbf{if}(e.MVector_{j}[j] > MVector_{p}[j] \land \forall i \neq j: e.MVector_{j}[i] \le MVector_{p}[i]) then
```

 $\forall i: MVector_p[i] \leftarrow max(MVector_p[i], e.MVector_j[i]); \\ \textbf{deliver} e.m \textbf{to} its corresponding application; \\ \textbf{insert} e \textbf{into} DLVD_Q_p \text{ in } e.m's sending time order; \\ \textbf{remove} e \textbf{from } RMSG_Q_p; \\ \textbf{else if}(e.deadline_m = current time value of P_p) \textbf{then} \\ \textbf{for all } c \in RMSG_Q_p \text{ in } FIFO \text{ order } st \\ (c.MVector_k \leq e.MVector_j) \textbf{do} \\ \forall i: MVector_p[i] \leftarrow \\ max(MVector_p[i], c.MVector_k[i]); \\ \end{cases}$

deliver c.m to its corresponding application ;
insert c into DLVD_Qp in c.m's sending time
 order ;

remove c **from** RMSG_Q_p;

Module SOLICIT-RECV(MVector_{rcvr}, MVector_{upper}) OF P_{sndr}

 $MSG_Q \leftarrow \Phi$;

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{for all } e \subseteq DLVD_Q_{sndr} \mbox{ in FIFO order st} \\ ((\forall i: e.MVector[i] < MVector_{upper}[i]) \land \\ not(\forall j: e.MVector[i] \leq MVector_{rcvr}[i])) \mbox{ do } \\ \mbox{insert } e \mbox{ into } MSG_Q \mbox{ in } e.m's \mbox{ sending time order }; \\ \mbox{send } reply(MSG_Q) \mbox{ to } P_{rcvr} \mbox{ ;} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Module RPY-RECV}(MSG_Q) \mbox{ OF } P_{rcvr} \\ \mbox{for all } e & \in MSG_Q \mbox{ in FIFO order } do \\ & \forall i: \mbox{MVector}_{rcvr}[i] \leftarrow \\ & \mbox{max}(MVector_{rcvr}[i], e.MVector_{j}[i]) \ ; \\ \mbox{deliver } e.m \ to \ its \ corresponding \ application \ ; \\ & \mbox{insert } e \ into \ DLVD_Q_p \ in \ e.m's \ sending \ time \ order \ ; \\ & \ remove \ e \ from \ RMSG_Q_p \ ; \end{array}$

Figure 1. Procedures for each broadcast group member.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (grant number: 2012R1A1A2044660).

REFERENCES

- R Baldoni, "A Positive Acknowledgment Protocol for Causal Broadcasting", IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 47, no. 12, pp. 1341-1350, 1998.
- [2] R. Baldoni, A. Mostefaoui and M. Raynal, "Causal Delivery of Messages with Real-Time Data in unreliable Networks", Real-Time Systems Journal, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 245 -262, 1996.
- [3] R. Baldoni, R. Prakash, M. Raynal and M. Singhal, "Efficient Δ-Causal Broadcasting", Journal of Computer Systems Science and Engineering, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 263-270, 1998.
- [4] K. Birman and T. Joseph, "Reliable Communication in the Presence of Failures", ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 47-76, 1987.
- [5] C. Kim and J. Ahn, "Causal order multicast protocol using minimal message history information", the 12th international conference on Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing, vol. 1, pp. 546-559, 2012.
- [6] J. Fanchon, K. Drira, S. P. Hernandez, "Abstract channels as connectors for software components in group communication services", Computer Science, ENC 2004. Proceedings of the Fifth Mexican International Conference in, pp. 88-95, 2004.
- [7] C. Plesca, R. Grigoras, P. Queinnec, G. Padiou, and J. Fanchon, "A coordination-level middleware for supporting flexible consistency in CSCW", 14th Euromicro International Conference on Parallel, Distributed, and Network-Based Processing, 2006.
- [8] L. Rodrigues, R. Baldoni, E. Anceaume, and M. Raynal, "Deadlineconstrained causal order", Third IEEE International Symposium on Object-Oriented Real-Time Distributed Computing, pp. 234-241, 2000.



Figure 2. An example of execution of our proposed algorithm supporting its high responsiveness.